NATIONAL PUBLIC LANDS GRAZING CAMPAIGN

Fiscal Benefits to Taxpayers of the Multiple-Use Conflict Resolution Act

The table below depicts two ways to evaluate the savings derived from paying willing-seller federal grazing permittees/lessees to end their grazing on public lands under the Multiple-Use Conflict Resolution Act (MUCRA; H.R. 3166).

The following assumptions were used to develop the measurements:

- The proposed rate of compensation in MUCRA is **\$175/AUM** (animal unit month; the amount of forage necessary to sustain a cow and calf for one month).¹
- Approximately 17,617,986 AUMs/year are authorized on Forest Service (USFS) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) lands.²
- The cost to administer the BLM and USFS grazing programs is about \$200,000,000 annually, or \$10.46 per year for each AUM provided on public lands (\$200,000,000 divided by 17,617,986 authorized AUMs).³

Yardstick	Measurement	Explanation	Calculation
Benefit/Cost Ratio	1.57 :1	Benefit received (in dollars) for each dollar spent on the buyout program.	\$274.48 (the present net value* [PNV] of the annual expenditure for the next 50 years of \$10.46 [annual taxpayer cost of providing one AUM]) divided by \$175 (proposed rate of compensation per authorized AUM).
Return on Investment	6%	The percentage of the initial expenditure for permit/lease buyout saved each year.	\$10.46 (annual taxpayer cost of providing an AUM) <i>divided</i> by \$175 (proposed rate of compensation per AUM) <i>multiplied</i> by 100 to convert to percentage.

^{*}Present net value is calculated by discounting back to the present the "perpetual" taxpayer expenditure of \$10.46/AUM each year for the next 50 years, using a real interest rate of 3.1% (OMB direction for analysis in 2005). This calculation determines the <u>present</u> value of these accumulated <u>future</u> costs that would be avoided by the proposed voluntary buyout program. Since both the benefits (future grazing subsidies avoided) and costs (one-time buyout price) are present values, a direct comparison is possible.

Further documentation of assumptions and calculations is available from NPLGC upon request.

Ways to Describe the Savings to Taxpayers of Voluntary Grazing Permit Buyout

Assuming that \$100,000,000 is authorized to buy federal grazing permits/leases from willing-seller permittees/lessees at \$175/AUM, then 571,429 AUMs could be retired (\$100,000,000 divided by \$175). Following are ways to describe the fiscal benefits of the voluntary federal grazing permit compensation program.

- "The legislation has a return on investment for the taxpayers of over six percent annually."
- "The legislation has a benefit-cost ratio of about 1.57:1, or \$1.57 saved for every dollar spent."

As drafted, MUCRA only authorizes \$100 million for grazing permit/lease compensation. If demand for buyouts exceeds the appropriated and/or authorized funds, private conservation organizations can contribute funds for additional buyouts **and** Congress can appropriate/authorized more funds. In the unlikely event that every federal grazing permittee/lessee desired and received a buyout, federal taxpayers would be relieved of a total subsidy of as much as \$10 billion over the next 50 years, a present value of \$4.8 billion.

² The average total animal unit months authorized annually on lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management and forests and grasslands managed by the USDA-Forest Service. Data available from NPLGC.
³ The direct costs of the Bureau of Land Management and U.S. Forest Service grazing programs total \$126 million

¹ The proposed payment is well above the average west-wide market value of \$35-75/AUM and is proposed to encourage permittee/lessee participation. Bartlett, E. T., L. A. Torell, N. R. Rimbey, et al. Valuing grazing use on public land. J. Range Manage. 55: 426-438 (reporting permit values are between \$35-\$75 in seasonal grazing states, and higher rates in states where yearlong grazing occurs) (citations omitted); Torell, L. A., N. R. Rimbey, J. A. Tanaka, S. A. Bailey. 2001. The lack of profit motive for ranching: implications for policy analysis. Proc. Current Issues in Rangeland Resource Economics Symp. Western Reg. Coord. Comm. on Rangeland Economics WCC-55. New Mexico State University Res. Rep. Ser. 737. New Mexico State University. Las Cruces, NM (unpaginated) (reporting average permit value of 40/AUM on public lands in Idaho and Wyoming).

³ The direct costs of the Bureau of Land Management and U.S. Forest Service grazing programs total \$126 million annually. Moscowitz, K. and C. Romaniello. 2002. *Assessing the Full Cost of the Federal Grazing Program*. Center for Biological Diversity. Tucson, AZ: 13-14. When additional indirect subsidies are factored, the total cost increases to as much as \$500 million annually. NPLGC. "The Cost of the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Livestock Grazing Programs," available at www.publiclandsranching.org/htmlres/PDF/FS_Fiscal_Costs.PDF. Comparable amounts have been independently reported by *The Economist* and Hess and Wald. Subsidized cow chow. *The Economist* (Mar. 7, 2002): 39; Hess, K. and J. H. Wald. 1995. "Grazing reform: here's the answer." High Country News 27(18).